



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Am
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,903	12/28/2000	Scott Ryder	APLE.P0007	9127
48947	7590	03/25/2005	EXAMINER	
STATTLER, JOHANSEN, AND ADELI LLP 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 1050 CENTURY CITY, CA 90067			KANG, PAUL H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HL

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/751,903	RYDER, SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul H Kang	2141		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner Sajid Yussuf is no longer assigned to the present patent application. This application is now assigned to Examiner Paul H. Kang. In examining this patent application, full faith and credit has been given to the search and action of the previous examiner. MPEP § 719.05.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Abdelnur et al. (US Patent No. 6,212,640 and Abdelnur hereinafter) in view of Manwiller, US Patent No. 6,553,415.

4. As per claim(s) 1, 22 and 24 Abdelnur discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Abdelnur discloses requesting of a first server said mounting of said volumes; returning by said

first server a set of mounting parameters; and automatically mounting said plurality of volumes utilizing said returned parameters, said parameters, said volumes located remote to said client, said volumes after mounting behaving as would a volume native to said client, (See Column 5 Lines 61-67 & Column 6 Lines 1-15).

However, Abdehnur does not explicitly teach the method comprising mounting without receiving a request from a user of said client to mount said plurality of remote volumes. In the same field of endeavor, Manwiller teaches a system and method for automatically establishing connection with a remote device over a distributed network using API's (Manwiller, col. 5, lines 20-55).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic mounting as taught by Manwiller, into the mounting system of Abdehnur since automation is desirable to alleviate user's manual connection requests.

5. As per claim(s) 2 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1 above and furthermore discloses authenticating said client by said first server via an interface to said first server, said client authentication characterized by a login identification (ID), (See Abdehnur, Column 9 Lines 60-67).

6. As per claim(s) 3 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-2 above and furthermore discloses supplying by the client said login ID and a password intended for said login ID, (See Abdehnur, Column 9 Lines 60-67).

7. As per claim(s) 4 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-3 above and furthermore discloses comparing said supplied password and said login ID with a stored password on said first server associated with said login ID; and if said stored password matches said supplied password for said login ID, then characterizing said client as successfully authenticated with said login ID; wherein if authentication information is not retrieved from the server then it is interpreted that the authentication information does not exist, only if a match occurs, then is the client successfully authenticated, (See Abdehnur, Column 11 Lines 1-11).

8. As per claim(s) 5 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-4 above and furthermore discloses detecting the presence of a cookie on said client, said cookie indicating by its presence that said client has installed a plug-in module; and if said cookie is not detected, terminating any said volume mounting, (See Abdehnur, Column 12 Lines 8-25).

9. As per claim(s) 6 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-5 above and furthermore discloses if successfully authenticated, retrieving said parameters from a profile datastore on said first server, said parameters indexed therein by said login ID; and assembling a mounting command block, said command block consisting of a mount command and said retrieved parameters, (See Abdehnur, Column 5 Lines 48-67 & Column 6 Lines 1-21).

10. As per claim(s) 7 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-6 above and furthermore discloses said requesting is initiated by an event occurring on said client requiring said mounting, (See AbdeInur, Column 6 Lines 33-59).

11. As per claim(s) 8 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-7 above and furthermore discloses retrieving said parameters from a profile datastore on said first server, said parameters indexed therein by an identifier for said event; and assembling a mounting command block, said command block consisting of a mount command and said retrieved parameters, (See AbdeInur, Column 5 Lines 48-67 & Column 6 Liens 1-21).

12. As per claim(s) 9 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-8 above and furthermore discloses said first server returns said parameters via a plug-in module installed on said client; wherein a Plug-in can be interpreted as a Java Applet, (See AbdeInur, Column 5 Lines 5-40).

13. As per claim(s) 10 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-9 above and furthermore discloses obtaining an address for said first server; comparing said address for said first server against a built-in list of approved servers; and if said address is not contained on said built-in list, then terminating the process of automatically mounting; wherein obtaining an address is inherent in that when a selection is made for a certain NFS server the only way to obtain that specific server is by an address of some sort, and if an

address does not exist then no mounting occurs as a result of not finding a server specific to the address obtained, (See AbdeInur, Column 7 Lines 47-63 & Column 8 Lines 36-52).

14. As per claim(s) 11 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-10 above and furthermore discloses passing of said parameters by said plug-in to an application programming interface (API) of said client; and completing the mounting of said volume by said API, said mounting achieved absent of user intervention, (See Column 6 Lines 15-40).

15. As per claim(s) 12 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-11 above and furthermore discloses said remote interface is an Hypertext Transport Protocol capable browser, (See AbdeInur, Column 5 Lines 5-16).

16. As per claim(s) 13 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-12 above and furthermore discloses said parameters include, for each of said volumes, a volume name, a server alias, (See AbdeInur, Column 7 Lines 1-15) a volume username and volume password, (See Column 9 Lines 60-67).

17. As per claim(s) 14 AbdeInur teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-13 above and furthermore discloses said server alias is resolved to an address of a second server, said second server housing the volume identified by the corresponding said volume name; wherein the second server satisfies the request, (See AbdeInur, Column 8 Lines 53-67).

18. As per claim(s) 15 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-14 above and furthermore discloses said address of said second server is an Internet Protocol (IP) address; wherein all servers connected to some network comprise of an IP address, (See AbdeInur, Column 7 Lines 36-46).

19. As per claim(s) 16 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-15 above and furthermore discloses looking up said server alias in a mapping table (i.e., mounting table); and retrieving from said look up said address (i.e., path name) of said server from said mapping table.

20. As per claim(s) 17 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-16 above and furthermore discloses said mounting command block is contained in an extensible markup language (XML) document, (See AbdeInur, Column 10 Lines 25-40).

21. As per claim(s) 18 AbdeInur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-17 above and furthermore discloses said mounting command block is contained in an extensible markup language (XML) document, (See AbdeInur, Column 10 Lines 25-40).

22. As per claim(s) 19 AbdeInur-Manwiller discloses a client configured to request said mounting; and a server coupled to said client said server configured to return a mounting command block to said client, (See AbdeInur, Column 5 Lines 61-67 & Column 6 Lines 1-15).

23. As per claim(s) 20 Abdehnur teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 19 above and furthermore discloses A system according to claim 19 further comprising: a plug-in (i.e., Java Applet) installed in said client, said plug-in (i.e., Java Applet) configured to approve the address of said server, (See Abdehnur, Column 5 Lines 5-40).

24. As per claim(s) 21 Abdehnur-Manwiller teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 19-20 above and furthermore discloses an application programming interface (API) coupled to said plug-in and configured to automatically mount said volumes specified in said mounting command block, said mounting performed without the need for user intervention, (See Abdehnur, Column 6 Lines 16-40).

25. As per claim(s) 23 Abdehnur-Manwiller discloses a plug-in module configured to receive from a server a mounting command block, said mounting command block to include volume mounting parameters, (See Abdehnur, Column 5 Lines 5-40); and an application programming interface coupled to said plug-in and configured to automatically mount said volumes specified in said mounting command block, said mounting performed without the need for user intervention, (See Abdehnur, Column 6 Lines 16-40).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant argued in substance that the prior art of


record failed to teach automatic mounting without manual user request. The new grounds of rejection teaches this feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Paul H. Kang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2141